arXiv:1612.06245v3 [math.OC] 24 Dec 2016

APPROXIMATING NONNEGATIVE POLYNOMIALS VIA SPECTRAL SPARSIFICATION

ALPEREN A. ERGÜR

ABSTRACT. Let $P_{n,2d}$ be the vector space of homogenous real polynomials with n variables and degree $2d$. Let $P_A \subset P_{n,2d}$ be the subspace spanned by polynomials $A = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\}$, where we only assume $r_1 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^d$. The elements of P_A that are nonnegative on the sphere, forms a full dimensional cone that we denote by Pos_A. In this note, we study polyhedral approximations and approximation limits to Pos_A.

On the side of inapproximability, we show that if $P_A = P_{n,2d}$, any polyhedral approximation to Pos_A has to have exponentially many facets.

On the side of polyhedral approximation, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ we prove existence of two polyhedral cones C_ε, S_ε both with $O(\frac{m}{\varepsilon^2})$ many facets, which satisfy the following property

$$S_\varepsilon \subseteq Pos_A^\varepsilon := \{f \in Pos_A : \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} f(x) \geq \varepsilon \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\, \sigma(x)\} \subseteq C_\varepsilon$$

Our approach is convex geometric and it relies on the recent solution of Kadison-Singer problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $P_{n,2d}$ be the vector space of homogenous real polynomials with n variables and degree $2d$. The polynomials in $P_{n,2d}$ that are non-negative on the sphere forms a full dimensional cone in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim(P_{n,2d})}$. Membership problem of this cone is equivalent to the certification of nonnegativity and hence algorithmically equivalent to the optimization of polynomials. In the case of quadratic polynomials ($d = 1$), membership of this cone can be checked via semidefinite programing and hence it is polynomial time ruling out a doubly exponentially small set of inputs (see for instance Chapter 2 of [7]). Starting with the case of $d = 2$, membership problem is NP-Hard [1]. In general, it seems hard to provide upper bounds for the complexity of nonnegative cone membership. However, a very interesting result of Pebay, Rojas and Thompson shows that for any fixed $\delta > 0$, deciding if the supremum of a polynomial with n variables and $n + n^\delta$ monomials exceeds a certain given number is $NP_{\mathbb{R}}$-complete [19].

Among many others, results of Pebay, Rojas and Thompson [19] and more recent results of Iliman and DeWolff [14, 15] show that complexity of optimization for a specially structured set of polynomials can be dramatically different than the complexity of optimization for generic (dense) polynomials of degree $2d$. This suggest that approximation aspects of the cone of nonnegative polynomials should also have quite different complexity in the dense and the sparse cases. In this note, we show polyhedral inapproximability of the cone of nonnegative polynomials in the dense case, and construct a polyhedral approximation for the sparse case. What is meant by inapproximability and approximation will be made precise below, but let us now note what is meant by sparsity; structure captured by any m-dimensional subspace $P_A \subset P_{n,2d}$ where $m << \dim(P_{n,2d})$. More precisely, for a set of polynomials $A = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\} \subset P_{n,2d}$ we define

$$P_A := \{p \in P_{n,2d} : \ p = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i r_i\}$$

where we only impose $r_1 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^d$. In this setting, the cone of polynomials that are nonnegative on the sphere is defined as follows

$$Pos_A := \{p \in P_A : p(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in S^{n-1}\}$$

Since for all $p \in Pos_A$ we have $\int\limits_{S^{n-1}} p(x)\ \sigma(x) \geq 0$, it is natural to use the following convex body as a base for the cone Pos_A.

$$\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A := \{p \in Pos_A : \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} p(x)\ \sigma(x) = 1\}$$

where $\sigma(x)$ is the uniform measure on S^{n-1}.

To study polyhedral cone approximations to Pos_A is equivalent to studying polytope approximations to $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$. Hence, the rest of the article concerns polytope approximations or approximation limits of $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$. For the sake of concreteness, for all the theorems on $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ we present in the introduction; we will also write it's corollary in the special case quadratics.

In the third section, we collect some convex geometric properties of $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$. A basic fact to note is the following

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}} B_2^{m-1} + r \subset \widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A \subset \sqrt{m-1} B_2^{m-1} + r$$

where $r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^d$ and B_2^{m-1} is the $(m-1)$-dimensional Euclidean ball with respect to the norm defined in the third section.

For a quadratic form $q(x) = \langle Qx, x\rangle$ with Q being a $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix, we have

$$\int\limits_{S^{n-1}} \langle Qx, x\rangle\ \sigma(x) = \frac{Tr(Q)}{n}$$

Therefore, in the case of quadratics $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ becomes the following section of cone of positive semidefinite matrices:

$$\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A = \{Q : Tr(Q) = n\ ,\ Q \succeq 0\}$$

Then, the basic result above translates to the following statement

$$(\binom{n+1}{2} - 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} B_2^{\binom{n+1}{2}-1} + \mathbb{I} \subseteq \widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A \subseteq (\binom{n+1}{2} - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_2^{\binom{n+1}{2}-1} + \mathbb{I}$$

In the fourth section, we study limits of polyhedral approximation to the $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ for the dense case -that is when the elements of A spans the entire space $P_{n,2d}$-. More precisely, we prove the following inapproximability result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $P_A = P_{n,2d}$, $c > 1$ is a constant, and P is a polytope in the hyperplane $H = \{f : \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\ \sigma(x) = 1\}$ which satisfies the following*

$$\frac{1}{c^d}\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A + (1 - \frac{1}{c^d})r \subset P \subset \widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$$

Then, P has at least

$$a_0 e^{a_1 \frac{n}{cd}}$$

many facets where a_0 and a_1 are absolute constants.

Remark 1.1. *In fact, the following slightly weaker assumption is sufficient for Theorem 1.1*

$$\frac{1}{c^d\sqrt{m-1}}B_2^{m-1}+r\subset P\subset\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A$$

Similar results for the special case of quadratics follows from the work of Pokutta and his collaborators on the approximation limits of linear programing [9, 10] . Our proof seems to be more direct and simple compared to the constructive approach of Pokutta et al [9, 10]. On the other hand, results of Pokutta and his collaborators hold for a more general family than the constant ratio approximations. Below is the corollary of Theorem 1.1 for quadratics.

Corollary 1.2. *Assume $P_A=P_{n,2}$, $0<\varepsilon<1$, and let P be a polytope in the hyperplane $H=\{Q\in P_{n,2}:Tr(Q)=n\}$ which satisfies the following*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A+\varepsilon\mathbb{I}\subseteq P\subseteq\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A$$

Then, P has at least

$$a_0e^{a_1n(1-\varepsilon)}$$

many facets where a_0 and a_1 are absolute constants.

After our inapproximability type result in the dense case, we continue with polyhedral approximation results for the sparse case. Our approach is based on exploiting convex geometric properties of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A$ through the spectral sparsification. In particular, we avail to the recent results of Friedland and Youssef [11] which uses the solution of Kadison-Singer problem [17, 18] in an essential way. Our polyhedral approximation result proved in the fifth section reads as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *For a given $\varepsilon>0$, there exist polytopes Q_ε and K_ε which consist of intersection of $O(\frac{m}{\varepsilon^2})$ many halfspaces and satisfy the following property*

$$Q_\varepsilon\subseteq(1-\varepsilon)\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A+\varepsilon r\subseteq K_\varepsilon\subseteq(2+2\varepsilon)\sqrt{m-1}B_2^{m-1}+r$$

Moreover, there exists an efficient randomized algorithm for the construction of Q_ε.

By construction, Q_ε, K_ε and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}}_A$ live in the hyperplane H where

$$H=\{p\in P_A:\int\limits_{S^{n-1}}p(x)\,\sigma(x)=1\}$$

We define S_ε and C_ε to be the cones over Q_ε and K_ε respectively, and we have that for all $f\in Pos_A$

$$(1-\varepsilon)f+\varepsilon r(\int\limits_{S^{n-1}}f(x)\,\sigma(x)\,)\in C_\varepsilon$$

Which is equivalent to say that for all $f\in Pos_A$ with $\min_{x\in S^{n-1}}f(x)\geq\varepsilon\int\limits_{S^{n-1}}f(x)\,\sigma(x)$, we have $f\in C_\varepsilon$. By similar considerations on S_ε we conclude that

$$S_\varepsilon\subseteq Pos_A^\varepsilon:=\{f\in Pos_A:\min_{x\in S^{n-1}}f(x)\geq\varepsilon\int\limits_{S^{n-1}}f(x)\,\sigma(x)\}\subseteq C_\varepsilon$$

Corollary 1.4. *Assume P_A is the linear span of the matrices $A = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$, and $\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}_A}$ is the section of the spectrahedron as defined above. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist polytopes Q_ε and K_ε which has $O(\frac{m}{\varepsilon^2})$ many facets and satisfy the following inclusion*

$$Q_\varepsilon \subseteq (1-\varepsilon)\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}_A} + \varepsilon\mathbb{I} \subseteq K_\varepsilon$$

Moreover, there exist an efficient algorithm for the construction of Q_ε.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: In the second section, we present John's Theorem from convex geometry and it's connections with the more recent spectral sparsification results. In the third section, we introduce modern convex geometry point of view on nonnegative polynomials. In the fourth section, we show polyhedral inapproximability of the cone of nonnegative polynomials in the dense case. Our arguments in the fourth section are quite simple and mainly based on concentration properties of the Gaussian measure. In the fifth section we prove the existence of the approximation cones S_ε and K_ε with desired properties. Finally, the last section is reserved for algorithmic aspects of our construction. Random construction of the approximate identity in the last section might be of independent interest

2. John's Theorem and Spectral Sparsification

We begin by recalling a fundamental theorem in convex geometry due to Fritz John [16].

Theorem 2.1. *(John's Theorem) Every convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is contained in a unique ellipsoid of the minimal volume E. Moreover,*

$$\frac{1}{n}E \subset K \subset E$$

This ellipsoid E is the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n if and only if the following conditions are satisfied: $K \subset B_2^n$, there are unit vectors $(u_i)_{i=1}^m$ on the boundary of K and positive real numbers c_i such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m c_i u_i = 0$$

and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\sum_i c_i \langle u_i, x\rangle^2 = \|x\|_2^2$$

Given a convex body K, the ellipsoid of the minimal volume E gives an upper bound for the volume of K. In fact, rather than merely a volume bound, the ellipsoid E provides essential information about the geometry of K. Note that, there is always a linear change of variables T transforming E to the unit ball B_2^n. Therefore one can view the unit vectors u_i in John's Theorem as landmark points on the boundary of K estimating it's geometry.

If the minimal volume ellipsoid of a convex body K is the unit ball; we say K is in John's position. The conditions characterizing John's position is essentially the existence of a decomposition of identity. One way to view the decomposition of identity in John's theorem is to observe that the family of unit vectors $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^m$ works like an orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This phenomenon is studied in depth by frame theory. The lemma below is a basic fact that can be found virtually in any frame theory text book.

Lemma 2.2. *We denote the map that sends x to $\langle x, y\rangle z$ by $y \otimes z$. Then the following are equivalent*

(1)
$$I = \sum_i c_i u_i \otimes u_i$$

(2) *For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*
$$x = \sum_i c_i \langle x, u_i\rangle u_i$$

(3) *For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*
$$\sum_i c_i \langle u_i, x\rangle^2 = \|x\|_2^2$$

Another perspective on the decomposition of identity is to view the decomposition as a discrete measure supported on the vectors u_i with weights c_i and the identity being the covariance matrix. This measure theoretic interpretation is formalized in the notion of isotropic measure where we present a special case below.

Definition 2.3. *A finite Borel measure Z on the sphere S^{n-1} of a n dimensional real vector space V is said to be isotropic if*

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle x, u\rangle^2 dZ(u)$$

for all $x \in V$. Moreover, we define the centroid of a measure Z on the sphere S^{n-1} as

$$\frac{1}{Z(S^{n-1})} \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} u \; Z(u)$$

We say the measure is centered at 0 if the centroid is the origin.

An isotropic measure supported on the sphere with centroid 0 is the continuous analog of the decomposition of identity given in John's theorem. The advantage of this point of view is that it provides a uniform view on a rich family of convex bodies which has (possibly) infinitely many touching points to their John's ellipsoid. This family includes convex of hull and Minkowski sum of group orbits and especially the cone of nonnegative polynomials. The implications of this point view on convex hull and Minkowski sum of the group orbits will be written in a separate manuscript joint with Petros Valettas. We are going to present the relation with the cone of nonnegative polynomials in the next section.

In general, the convex bodies with fewer than $\frac{n(n+3)}{2}$ touching points to their John's ellipsoid forms a dense family in the space of convex bodies [13]. However, as we discussed above there are important families of convex bodies with infinitely many touching points. This brings the problem of sparsification in the decomposition of identity. The main goal in this approach is to find $O(n)$ many vectors among the initial decomposition which forms an approximate identity. This line of reasoning is closely related to the recent solution of Kadison-Singer problem; we begin our discussion with a remarkable theorem of Batson, Spielman and Srivastava which was a precursor to the solution of Kadison-Singer (see Theorem 1.6 of [22]).

Theorem 2.4. *Fix $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist $s_!, s_2, \ldots, s_m \in [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\#\{s_i : s_i \neq 0\} \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{\varepsilon^2} \right\rceil$$

and for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, y\rangle^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m s_i \langle x, y_i\rangle^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \langle x_i, y\rangle^2$$

In particular, for the case $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes x_i = \mathbb{I}_n$ we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)^2 \mathbb{I} \preceq \sum_{i=1}^m s_i x_i \otimes x_i \preceq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \mathbb{I}$$

Theorem 2.4 is recently refined by a beautiful article of Friedland and Youssef [11]. Friedland and Youssef's work uses the solution of Kadison-Singer problem as an intermediate step and then provides refined estimates on a suite of problems including spectral sparsification, restricted invertibility and isomorphic Dvoretzky. The following result (Theorem 2.2 of [11]) will be used in the fifth section.

Theorem 2.5. *There exists a universal constant c such that the following holds. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\{c_i, v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a John's decomposition of identity in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a multiset σ of indices from $[m]$ of size at most $\frac{n}{c\varepsilon^2}$ so that*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{I} \preceq \frac{n}{|\sigma|} \sum_{i \in \sigma} (x_i - u) \otimes (x_i - u) \preceq (1+\varepsilon)\mathbb{I}$$

where $u = \frac{1}{|\sigma|} \sum_{i \in \sigma} x_i$ satisfies $\|u\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3\sqrt{n}}$.

3. Convex Geometry of Nonnegative Polynomials

In this section, we would like to introduce modern convex geometry point of view on nonnegative polynomials. All the results here are basic, most of them are well known and appeared in several articles of Barvinok and Blekherman [4, 6].

Let $A = \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_m\}$ be a family of polynomials in $P_{n,2d}$, and let $P_A \subset P_{n,2d}$ be the subspace spanned by the elements of A. For technical reasons which will become immediately evident, we assume $r_1 = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^{2d}$. We use the following standard inner product for $f, g \in P_A$.

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(x) g(x)\, \sigma(x)$$

where σ is the uniform measure on the sphere S^{n-1}.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m \in P_A$ be an orthonormal basis for P_A. For every $v \in S^{n-1}$, we define the following polynomial p_v*

$$p_v(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i(v) u_i(x)$$

Then, p_v possess the following properties:

(1) *For all* $q \in P_A$ *and for all* $v \in S^{n-1}$ *we have*

$$\langle q, p_v \rangle = q(v)$$

(2) *For* $v, w \in S^{n-1}$ *and* $T \in SO(n)$ *we have following*

$$p_v(w) = p_w(v) = p_{T(v)}(T(w))$$

(3) *For all* $v \in S^{n-1}$ *and for all* $q \in P_A$ *we have*

$$m = p_v(v) = \|p_v\|^2$$

$$\frac{max_{v \in S^{n-1}} |q(v)|}{\|q\|} \leq \sqrt{m}$$

where the $\|.\|$ *is the norm introduced by the inner product on* P_A.

Proof. Given $q \in P_A$ we have

$$q(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \langle q, u_i \rangle u_i(v) = \langle q, \sum_i u_i(v) u_i \rangle = \langle q, p_v \rangle$$

For any $T \in SO(n)$ and any $f \in P_A$

$$f(T(w)) = \langle f \circ T, p_w \rangle = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(T(v)) p_w(v)\, \sigma(v) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f(v) p_w(T^{-1}v)\, \sigma(v)$$

Therefore, if we define the action of $SO(n)$ on P_A by setting $T \circ f(x) = f(T^{-1}x)$ we have

$$f(T(w)) = \langle f, p_{T(w)} \rangle = \langle f, T \circ p_w \rangle$$

Since f is arbitrary, this proves $p_{T(w)} = T \circ p_w$. Now we would like to show that $p_v(v) = m$ for all $v \in S^{n-1}$. Availing to the property we just proved, it is easy to see that $p_v(v) = p_w(w)$ for all $v, w \in S^{n-1}$. Therefore we have

$$p_v(v) = \int_{S^{n-1}} p_v(v)\, \sigma(v) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle p_v, p_v \rangle\, \sigma(v)$$

$$p_v(v) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle \sum_i u_i(v) u_i, \sum_i u_i(v) u_i \rangle\, \sigma(v) = \sum_i \int_{S^{n-1}} u_i(v)^2\, \sigma(v) = m$$

Last inequality in the theorem statement is a direct application of Cauchy-Schwartz inequality. □

For any nonnegative polynomial $p \in P_A$ we have

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} p(x)\, \sigma(x) = \langle p, r \rangle \geq 0$$

where $r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^d$. We observe that $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A = \{p \in Pos_A : \langle p, r \rangle = 1\}$. We denote by $r^\perp$ the subspace of P_A consisting of polynomials orthogonal to r. We define the following subspace of P_A

$$U = P_A \cap r^{\perp} = \{f \in P_A : \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\, \sigma(x) = 0\}$$

Now we define a map from S^{n-1} to P_A as follows

$$\phi : S^{n-1} \to P_A$$
$$\phi(v) = p_v - r$$

Observe that for all $v \in S^{n-1}$ we have $\|\phi(v)\| = \sqrt{m-1}$, moreover the following holds

$$\langle \phi(v), r \rangle = \langle p_v - r, r \rangle = 0$$

Hence $\phi(S^{n-1}) \subset U$. Now let σ be the uniform measure on S^{n-1} and let μ be the pushforward measure of σ under ϕ. For all $q \in U$ we have the following

$$\|q\| = \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} q(v)^2\, \sigma(v) = \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} \langle q, p_v \rangle^2\, \sigma(v) = \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} \langle q, \phi(v) \rangle^2\, \sigma(v)$$

By definition of the pushforward measure we deduce

$$\|q\| = \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} \langle q, \phi(v) \rangle^2\, \sigma(v) = \int\limits_{U} \langle q, u \rangle^2\, \mu(u)$$

Therefore we observe that μ is an isotropic measure supported on the on $\sqrt{m-1}$ scaled sphere of U. Hence μ creates a decomposition of identity!

In order to view the support of μ as a John's decomposition we also need to compute it's centroid.

$$q = \int u\, \mu(u) = \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} (p_v - r)\, \sigma(v)$$

As noted in the proof of Lemma 3.1, the action of $T \in O(n)$ on $f \in P_A$ is defined as follows

$$T \circ f(x) = f(T^{-1}(x))$$

By construction, q is invariant under the action of $SO(n)$. Therefore $q = a(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_n^2)^d$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $q \in U$, we deduce that $a = 0$. Hence the measure μ is centered at the origin.

Now we define the body of pointwise evalutaions;

$$B := conv\,(Im(\phi))$$

$$B^{\circ} := \{q \in U : \langle q, p \rangle \text{ for all } p \in B\} := \{q \in U : \langle q, p_v - r \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } v \in S^{n-1}\}$$

By definition of U and p_v, we have $\langle q, p_v - r \rangle = \langle q, p_v \rangle = q(v)$ which shows the following equivalence

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Pos}_A} := 1 - B^{\circ}$$

This nice convex geometric duality allows us to approximate $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ by approximating B. As we observed in this section, B is the convex hull of a decomposition of identity on scaled sphere, and the decomposition is centered at the origin. In conclusion, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}}B$ is in John's position; moreover it is the convex hull of the touching points to the unit ball. In the coming sections we are going to exploit this description of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}}B$.

4. Limits of Approximation with Few Facets

We have observed the convex geometric duality between $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ (the section of cone of nonnegative polynomials) and B the convex body of pointwise evaluations in previous section. Due to this duality, searching for polytopes Q with few facets that is sandwiched between $\frac{1}{c}\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ and $\widetilde{\text{Pos}}_A$ for some $c > 1$ is equivalent to searching for polytopes P with few vertices that is sandwiched between B and cB. In this section, we consider the case $m = \binom{n+2d-1}{2d}$, and show that for any constant $c > 1$, every polytope P satisfying

$$B \subseteq P \subset c^d B$$

is required to have exponentially many vertices in terms of number of variables n.

Our result is a direct application of basic properties of the Gaussian measure. Similar inapproximability results for the special case of quadratic nonnegative polynomials (PSD cone) were obtained by Pokutta et al with a completely different approach [9, 10].

We start with presenting the two facts about Gaussian measure that will be used in our proof. The theorem below can be found as Cor 5.5.7 in the book of Bogachev [8].

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a homogenous degree $2d$ polynomial and let γ_n be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\{|f(x)| \geq \|f\|_2 t^{2d}\} \leq a_0 e^{-a_1 t^2}$$

where a_0, a_1 are absolute constants and $\|.\|_2$ is L_2 norm on $P_{n,2d}$ with respect to the Gaussian measure.

The second fact we are going to use is a standard tail bound for the norm of a Gaussian vector (see for instance [3]).

Theorem 4.2. *Let γ_n be the standard Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and v be a random vector with distribution γ_n. Then,*

$$\mathbb{P}\{\|v\|_2 \leq (1-\varepsilon)n\} \leq e^{\frac{-\varepsilon^2 n}{4}}$$

Now we are ready to present our inapproximability result. As mentioned in the introduction, this result only holds for the special case where A is the full support set of degree $2d$ homogenous polynomials.

Theorem 4.3. *Let B be the body of pointwise evaluations as defined in the previous section, and also assume that $m = \binom{n+2d-1}{2d}$. Let $P = conv\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ such that*

$$B \subseteq P \subset c^d B$$

then, we have

$$|N| \geq a_o e^{a_1 \frac{n}{cd}}$$

where a_0 and a_1 are absolute constants.

Proof. We borrow the idea of Barvinok, which is to study the maxima of a linear functional on the polytope to bound the number of vertices [2]. Our linear functional will be the pointwise evaluation at a random Gaussian vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we will use the known properties of the convex body B and the polytope P to arrive to a lower bound on the number of vertices P. We define $\widetilde{P} = conv\{f_i, -f_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$. We observe that

$$B \subseteq \widetilde{P} \subseteq c^d \sqrt{m} B_2^{m-1}$$

Note that, here the unit ball is defined with respect to the inner product introduced in the previous section. Now for a random Gaussian vector v, using the fact that maxima of a linear functional is attained at the vertices of a polytope and performing a basic union bound, we have the following inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{v : \max_{f \in \tilde{P}} f(v) \geq \tau\} = \mathbb{P}\{v : \max_{f \in P} |f(v)| \geq \tau\} \leq |N| \, \mathbb{P}\{v : |f_i(v)| \geq \tau\}$$

For any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $\tilde{v} = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$ and we have the following inequality

$$\max_{f \in B} f(v) \geq \|v\|^{2d} \, p_{\tilde{v}}(\tilde{v}) \geq m \, \|v\|^{2d}$$

Therefore, we have the following lower bounds

$$\mathbb{P}\{v : \max_{f \in P} |f(v)| \geq \tau\} \geq \mathbb{P}\{v : m \, \|v\|^{2d} \geq \tau\}$$

$$|N| \geq \frac{\mathbb{P}\{v : m \, \|v\|^{2d} \geq \tau\}}{\mathbb{P}\{v : |f_i(v)| \geq \tau\}}$$

We define the following expression for notational simplicity

$$f(d,n) = \left(\frac{2^{2d} \Gamma(2d + \frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

We set $\tau = f(d,n) (\frac{n}{2d})^d \binom{n+2d-1}{2d}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, for all $f \in c^d \binom{n+2d-1}{2d}^{\frac{1}{2}} B_2^{\binom{n+2d-1}{2d}}$ we have

$$\|f\|_2 = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^2 \, d\gamma_n(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\int_{S^{n-1}} f(x)^2 \, \sigma(x))^{\frac{1}{2}} f(d,n) \leq c^d \binom{n+2d-1}{2d}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(d,n)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{v : |f_i(v)| \geq \tau\} \leq \mathbb{P}\{v : |f_i(v)| \geq \|f\|_2 \, (\frac{n}{2cd})^d\} \leq a_o e^{-a_1 \frac{n}{2cd}}$$

Note also that

$$\tau \leq \binom{n+2d-1}{2d} n^d$$

Therefore we have the following estimate which concludes the proof.

$$\mathbb{P}\{v : m \, \|v\|^{2d} \geq \tau\} \geq 1 - e^{\frac{\varepsilon^2 n}{4}} \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

where $(1-\epsilon)n = (\frac{\tau}{m})^{\frac{1}{d}}$.

□

Remark 4.1. *Our proof is restricted to the case of full support. This must be an artifact of our techniques rather than the nature of the object. An interesting case study would be trying to prove polyhedral inapproximability of the cone of copositive matrices.*

5. Approximation Polytopes

We start this section by presenting a direct corollary of the work of Friedland and Youssef [11]. Below we use conventions of Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 5.1. *There exist a universal constant c so that the following holds. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a multiset of unit vectors $S := \{v_i\}$ with $|S| \leq \frac{m}{c\varepsilon^2}$ so that*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{I} \preceq \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(p_v - r)\otimes(p_v - r) \preceq (1+\varepsilon)\mathbb{I}$$

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity map on $U := \{f \in P_A : \int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\,\sigma(x) = 0\}$.

Proof. In the third section we have observed that $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m-1}}B$ is in John's position. For a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ which is at John's position, there exist a John's decomposition of identity with at most $\frac{(m-1)(m+2)}{2}$ many vectors [16]. That is, there exists a set $V \subset S^{n-1}$ with $|V| \leq \frac{(m-1)(m+2)}{2}$ and constants c_v so that the following holds

$$\sum_{v\in V} c_v \frac{p_v - r}{\sqrt{m-1}} = 0$$

$$\sum_{v\in V} c_v \frac{p_v - r}{\sqrt{m-1}} \otimes \frac{p_v - r}{\sqrt{m-1}} = \mathbb{I}_{m-1}$$

Applying Theorem of Friedland and Youssef that was presented the in second section, we deduce there exist a multiset $S \subset V$ with $|S| \leq \frac{m}{c\varepsilon^2}$ that satisfies the following properties

$$(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\mathbb{I} \preceq \tilde{T} = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(p_v - r - u)\otimes(p_v - r - u) \preceq (1+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\mathbb{I}$$

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

where $u = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(p_v - r)$. We complete the proof by the following observation

$$\left\|\tilde{T} - \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(p_v - r)\otimes(p_v - r)\right\| \leq \|u\otimes u\| \leq \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{9}$$

□

Remark 5.1. *Note that S being a set or a multiset where some elements are counted with multiplicity does not effect the rest of our arguments. Therefore, from this point on we consider S as a set for simplicity.*

We have observed convex geometric properties of the body of pointwise evaluations B in the third section. In this section, we are interested in constructing approximation polytopes to B. More precisely, we are going to show existence of two polytopes $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ and $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$ both with $O(\frac{m}{\varepsilon^2})$ vertices, which satisfy the following property

$$\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon \subseteq \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} B \subseteq \widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$$

By convex geometric duality this will then provide the polyhedral approximations to $\widetilde{Pos}_A$ as stated in Theorem 1.3.

We start with $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$. Our approach is very similar to the approach of Rudelson [21] with the difference that we keep the structure of being the convex hull of touching points to the John's ellipsoid where Rudelson is interested in preserving the Banach-Mazur distance. We start with a set of unit vectors S with $|S| \leq \frac{m-1}{c\varepsilon^2}$ so that we have

$$(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{4})\mathbb{I} \prec T = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S} f_v \otimes f_v \prec (1+\frac{\varepsilon}{4})\mathbb{I}$$

where $f_v = p_v - r$. Existence of such a set S is guaranteed by Theorem 5.1.

Now for any vector y we define

$$T_y = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(f_v+y)\otimes(f_v+y)$$

Note that for small y, T_y is close to the identity:

$$\|T_y - I\| \leq \|T_y - T\| + \|T - I\| \leq \|y\otimes y\| + \|T-I\| \leq \|y\|^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

We would like to find a vector y with $\|y\| \leq \varepsilon$ so that the following holds

$$\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|(f_v+y) = 0$$

Existence of such a vector will be proved in the next lemma. For now, we assume the existence and define the ellipsoid $E = T_y^{\frac{1}{2}} B_2^{m-1}$. We observe that

$$(1-2\varepsilon)B_2^{m-1} \subset E \subset (1+2\varepsilon)B_2^{m-1}$$

Now we define the polytope P_ε as follows

$$P_\varepsilon = conv\left\{\frac{f_v+y}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|} : v\in S\right\}$$

Clearly we have $\frac{f_v+y}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|} \in E$. Moreover, we have the following decomposition of identity.

$$\mathbb{I} = T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}} T_y T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|^2 \left(\frac{T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|}\right)\otimes\left(\frac{T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|}\right)$$

This decomposition shows that E is the John's ellipsoid of P_ε with touching points $\frac{f_v+y}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|}$.

Now observe that $f_v + y$ is on the boundary of $B + y$ and the following inequality holds

$$\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\| \geq \frac{\sqrt{m-1}-\varepsilon}{1+2\varepsilon} \geq \sqrt{m-1}(1-4\varepsilon)$$

Hence for all $v \in S$ we have

$$\frac{f_v+y}{\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|} \in \frac{1}{(1-4\varepsilon)\sqrt{m-1}}(B+y)$$

This yields the conclusion that

$$P_\varepsilon \subseteq \frac{1}{(1-4\varepsilon)\sqrt{m-1}}(B+y)$$

$$\sqrt{m-1}P_\varepsilon - \frac{y}{1-4\varepsilon} \subseteq \frac{1}{1-4\varepsilon}B$$

We set $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon = \sqrt{m-1}P_\varepsilon - \frac{y}{1-4\varepsilon}$. We would like to the use dual of $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$, and hence need to prove that $O \in \widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$. The following inclusion is known thanks to the John's Ellipsoid E of P_ε

$$\frac{1-2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}}B_2^{m-1} - \frac{y}{1-4\varepsilon} \subseteq \widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$$

We set $a_\varepsilon = \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-4\varepsilon}$. For $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4\sqrt{m-1}}$ we have that $a_\varepsilon > \frac{1-2\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{m-1}}$. By the lower bound on a_ε, for sufficiently small ε we have

$$\frac{1-2\varepsilon}{2\sqrt{m-1}}B_2^{m-1} \subseteq \widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$$

This clearly implies that $0 \in \widetilde{K}_\varepsilon$ and hence $\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon^\circ$ is well defined. We set $K_\varepsilon = -\widetilde{K}_\varepsilon^\circ + r$ as our approximation polytope. We have the following relations

$$(1-4\varepsilon)B^\circ \subseteq K_\varepsilon \subseteq \frac{2\sqrt{m-1}}{1-2\varepsilon}B_2^{m-1}$$

It only remains to prove the existence of a vector y with the assumed properties. This is essentially done by Rudelson at Lemma 4.1 of [21]. We write the lemma and the proof in our setting for completeness.

Lemma 5.2. *There exist a vector y with $\|y\| \leq \varepsilon$ which satisfies the following*

$$\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|(f_v+y) = 0$$

where $T_y = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}(f_v+y)\otimes(f_v+y)$.

Proof. It suffices to show there exist a $y \in \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}}B_2^m$ so that

$$\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\| f_v = -\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\| y$$

For this purpose we define

$$F(y) = \frac{-\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\| f_v}{\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\|}$$

We would like to prove $F(y)$ has a fixed point on εB_2^m. By Brouwer fixed point theorem, it suffices to prove that F maps εB_2^m to itself. First note that

$$\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\sqrt{m-1}f_v \leq \frac{2\varepsilon\sqrt{m-1}}{3}$$

To bound $\|F(y)\|$ from above, we set

$$\alpha_v = \left\|T_y^{-\frac{1}{2}}(f_v+y)\right\| - \sqrt{m-1}$$

To estimate $\left\|\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\alpha_v f_v\right\|$, we use the following inequality which can be proved by Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\max_{\|u\|=1}\left|\langle u, \sum_{v\in S}\alpha_v f_v\rangle\right| = \left\|\sum_{v\in S}\alpha_v f_v\right\| \leq |S|\,\|T\|\max_{v\in S}|\alpha_v| \leq |S|\,(1+\varepsilon)\max_{v\in S}|\alpha_v|$$

where $T = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S} f_v\otimes f_v$.

$$\|F(y)\| \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\max_{v\in S}|\alpha_v| + \frac{2\varepsilon\sqrt{m-1}}{3}}{\frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\alpha_v + \sqrt{m-1}}$$

So the remaining task is to bound $|\alpha_v|$. We have three inequalities

$$\left|\|f_v+y\| - \sqrt{m-1}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}}$$

$$\|T_y - \mathbb{I}\| \leq \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{m-1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

$$\left|\langle f_v+y, T_y^{-1}(f_v+y)\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{m-1}\right| \leq \left|\langle f_v+y, f_v+y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sqrt{m-1}\right| + 2\,\|\mathbb{I}-T_y\|\,\|f_v+y\|$$

Therefore we have

$$|\alpha_v| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}} + 2(\frac{4\varepsilon^2}{m-1}+\frac{\varepsilon}{4})(\sqrt{m-1}+\frac{2\varepsilon}{\sqrt{m-1}}) \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

This estimate implies

$$\|F(y)\| \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon)\frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{2\varepsilon\sqrt{m-1}}{3}}{\sqrt{m-1}-\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}} \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$$

□

Now we construct our second approximation polytope $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$. We again start with an approximate identity, namely we pick a set of unit vectors $|S| \leq \frac{m-1}{c\varepsilon^2}$ so that we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{I} \prec T = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S} f_v \otimes f_v \prec (1+\varepsilon)\mathbb{I}$$

where $f_v = p_v - r$. Then we define $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ as follows

$$\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon := conv\{\sqrt{m-1}f_v, -\sqrt{m-1}f_v : v \in S\}$$

We would like to prove that

$$\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}B \subset \widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$$

Our strategy is to compare maxima of a linear functional on B with it's maxima on $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$. Let $q \in U$, then we have

$$\max_{f\in B}\langle f, q\rangle \leq \sqrt{m-1}\,\|q\|$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$(1-\varepsilon)\,\|q\|^2 \leq \langle T(q), q\rangle = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v\in S}\langle f_v, q\rangle^2 \leq (1+\varepsilon)\,\|q\|^2$$

By the pigeonhole principle, this means there exists $v \in S$ so that

$$\langle f_v, q\rangle^2 \geq (1-\varepsilon)\,\|q\|^2$$

This implies the following

$$\max_{f\in\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon}\langle f, q\rangle \geq \sqrt{m-1}\max_{v\in S}|\langle f_v, q\rangle| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\sqrt{m-1}\,\|q\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\max_{f\in B}\langle f, q\rangle$$

Which is equivalent to the following conclusion

$$\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}B \subseteq \widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$$

For the final step, we similarly define $Q_\varepsilon = -\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon^\circ + r$ and we have the following relations

$$\frac{1}{m-1}B_2^{m-1} + r \subseteq Q_\varepsilon \subseteq (1-\varepsilon)\widetilde{Pos}_A + \varepsilon r$$

6. Algorithmic Aspects

There are three steps in the construction of the approximation polytope Q_ε; finding the ε-approximate identity, creating the polytope $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ as the convex hull of the vectors in the approximate identity, and finally obtaining Q_ε as $-\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon^\circ + r$.

Creating $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon$ as convex hull $O(\frac{m}{c\varepsilon^2})$ many vertices, directly gives a description of Q_ε as intersection of $O(\frac{m}{c\varepsilon^2})$ many facets. Therefore the last step of the construction does not pose an algorithmic issue.

Second step is virtual since we only care about the dual polytope $\widetilde{Q}_\varepsilon^\circ$. So, the only step that requires some care is the first step; obtaining the approximate identity. We would like to use

Theorem 5.1 for the first step. However, it's proof relies on the solution of Kadison-Singer problem through the work of Friedland and Yousef, which is not constructive. Moreover, it is not even clear that if a deterministic construction of Friedland and Yousef's result would yield a deterministic construction of our result in Theorem 5.1. Therefore, we use random sampling to create the desired ε-approximate identity.

We recall a theorem of Rudelson on covariance estimation of isotropic random vectors [20]. There are several somewhat improved versions of this theorem, Rudelson's original result is sufficient for our purposes.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a random vector satisfying $\mathbb{E}(y \otimes y) = \mathbb{I}$. Let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_M$ be independent copies of y. Then there exists an absolute constant C so that we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\left\| \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} y_i \otimes y_i - \mathbb{I} \right\| \leq C\sqrt{\frac{\log m}{M}} (\mathbb{E}\left\|y\right\|^{\log M})^{\frac{1}{\log M}}$$

Now assume that $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_M$ are random vectors distributed with respect to uniform measure on S^{n-1} where $M = \frac{4C^2 m \log(m)}{\varepsilon^2}$. We have the following estimate by Rudelson's inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\{ \left\| \frac{1}{M}\sum_{i=1}^{M} (p_{v_i} - r) \otimes (p_{v_i} - r) - \mathbb{I}_{m-1} \right\| \geq \varepsilon \} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore, sampling $\frac{4C^2 m \log(m)}{\varepsilon^2}$ many vectors with respect to the uniform distribution on the sphere creates an ε-approximate identity with high probability. We should note that there is a factor of $\log(m)$ difference between the number of vectors in the random construction compared to the deterministic result in Theorem 5.1. It is not certain if this factor is necessary, but removing it requires more detailed study of the measure μ, which we postpone for future study. We also would like to note that since the random construction is a $(m-1) \times (m-1)$ matrix, magnitude of it's singular values can be verified in time $O(m^3)$.

Remark 6.1. *We skipped the details of the computation of $\int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\, \sigma(x)$ and the computation of polynomials p_v.*

For the computation of $\int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\, \sigma(x)$ please see [5]. Computation of polynomials p_v boils down to the computation of an orthonormal basis, which can be done via a Gram-Schmidt type process given an efficient way of computing $\int\limits_{S^{n-1}} f(x)\, \sigma(x)$.

Remark 6.2. *For every $v, w \in S^{n-1}$, we have $p_v(w) = p_w(v) = p_A(\langle w, v\rangle)$ where p_A is a univariate polynomial known as the Gegenbauer polynomial [12]. Now, assume we create an ε-approximate identity T by random sampling procedure described above:*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\mathbb{I} \prec T = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v \in S} (p_v - r) \otimes (p_v - r) \prec (1+\varepsilon)\mathbb{I}$$

Then for any polynomial $f \in U$ and $x \in S^{n-1}$, we have

$$T(f)(x) = \frac{1}{|S|}\sum_{v \in S} f(v) p_A(\langle v, x\rangle)$$

$$|T(f)(x) - f(x)| \leq \|T - \mathbb{I}\| \, \|f\| \, \|p_x\| \leq \varepsilon\sqrt{m} \, \|f\|$$

These properties seem to be useful for applications. For instance, one can approximate extreme values of f on S^{n-1} with $\varepsilon\sqrt{m}\,\|f\|$ error, by extreme values of $T(f)$.

7. Acknowledgements

I had the idea of Theorem 4.3 after having a short discussion with Alexander Barvinok at ICERM. I would like to thank Prof. Barvinok for the discussion, and to the ICERM for excellent research environment. I also would like to present my thanks to Cynthia Vinzant and Seth Sullivant for useful discussions.

References

[1] A. A. Ahmadi, *Lecture notes for Princeton ORFE course, Lecture 15*, www.princeton.edu/ amirali/Public/Teaching/ORF523

[2] A. Barvinok, *A bound for the number of vertices of a polytope with applications*, Combinatorica 33 (2013), no. 1, 1–10

[3] A. Barvinok, *A Course in Convexity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 54. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002

[4] A. Barvinok, G. Blekherman, *Convex geometry of orbits*, Combinatorial and computational geometry, 51–77, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 52, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005

[5] A. Barvinok, *Approximating L^∞ norms by L^{2k} norms for functions on orbits*, Found. Comput. Math. (2002) 2:393–412

[6] G. Blekherman, *Convexity properties of the cone of nonnegative polynomials*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 32 (2004), no. 3, 345–371

[7] G. Blekherman, P.A. Parrilo, R. Thomas, *Semidefinite Optimization and Convex Algebraic Geometry*, MOS-SIAM Ser. Optim., 13, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2013

[8] V. I. Bogachev, *Gaussian Measures*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 62. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998

[9] G. Braun, S. Pokutta, *The matching polytope does not admit fully-polynomial size relaxation schemes*, Proceedings of the Twenty-Sixth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, 837–846, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 2015

[10] G. Braun, S. Fiorini, S. Pokutta, D. Steurer, *Approximation limits of linear programs (beyond hierarchies)*, Math. Oper. Res. 40 (2015), no. 3, 756–772

[11] O. Friedland, P. Yousef, *Approximating convex bodies and matrices yhrough Kadison-Singer*, https://arxiv.org/pdf/1605.03861v1.pdf

[12] J. Gallier, *Notes on Spherical Harmonics and Linear Representations of Lie Groups*, http://www.cis.upenn.edu/ cis610/sharmonics.pdf

[13] P.M. Gruber, *Minimal ellipsoids and their duals*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 37 (1988), no. 1, 35–64.

[14] S. Iliman, T. De Wolff , *Amoebas, nonnegative polynomials and sums of squares supported on circuits*, Res. Math. Sci. 3 (2016)

[15] S. Iliman, T. De Wolff, *Lower bounds for polynomials with simplex Newton polytopes based on geometric programming*, SIAM J. Optim. 26 (2016), no. 2, 1128–1146

[16] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Studies and Essays Presented to R. Courant on his 60th Birthday, January 8, 1948, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N. Y., 1948, pp. 187–204

[17] A. Marcus, D. Spielman, N. Srivastava, *Interlacing families I: Bipartite Ramanujan graphs of all degrees*, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), no. 1, 307–325

[18] A. Marcus, D. Spielman, N. Srivastava, *Interlacing families II: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison-Singer problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) 182 (2015), no. 1, 327–350.

[19] P. Pebay, J. M. Rojas, D. Thompson, *Optimizing n-variate (n+k)-nomials for small k*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 412 (2011), no. 16, 1457–1469

[20] M. Rudelson, Random vectors in the isotropic position, Journal of Functional Analysis, 164 (1999), 60–72
[21] M. Rudelson, *Contact points of convex bodies*, Israel J. Math. 101 (1997), 93–124
[22] N. Srivastava, *Spectral Sparsification and Restricted Invertibility*, PhD Dissertation, Yale University, 2010, Available at https://math.berkeley.edu/ nikhil/dissertation.pdf
[23] R. Vershynin, *High-Dimensional Probability, An Introduction with Applications in Data Sciences*, http://www.personal.umich.edu/ romanv/papers/HDP-book/HDP-book.html
[24] R. Vershynin, *Introduction to the Non-asymptotic Analysis of Random Matrices*, Compressed sensing, 210–268, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2012

E-mail address: aaergur@ncsu.edu

2108 SAS Hall Box 8205, NC State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205